AWARD NUMBER: W81XWH-15-2-0026

TITLE: Clinical Evaluation of Decellularized Nerve Allograft with Autologous Bone Marrow Stem Cells to Improve Peripheral Nerve Repair and Functional Outcomes

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: LTC Leon Nesti MD PhD

RECIPIENT: Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine Inc.

Bethesda, MD 20817

REPORT DATE: July 2017

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command

Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED (From - To)
July 2017	Annual	1/7/2016-30/6/2017
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
Clinical Evaluation of Dec		
		5b. GRANT NUMBER
Autologous Bone Marrow Stem Cells to Improve Peripheral Nerve		W81XWH-15-2-0026
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
Repair and Functional Outc	omes	
6. AUTHOR(S)	5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
Leon Nesti, LTC, MC, USA		
		5e. TASK NUMBER
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER
Email: leon.nesti@usuhs.edu		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT
		NUMBER
Uniformed Services Univers	ity	
of the Health Sciences		
4301 Jones Bridge Rd		
Bethesda, MD 20817		
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY	NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
U.S. Army Medical Research as	nd Materiel Command	
•		
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-	3012	11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT
		NUMBER(S)

12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

14. ABSTRACT

The current award is a phase I safety study (n=12) evaluation of the synergistic effect of the cotreatments of a commercially available decellularized processed peripheral nerve allograft scaffold (Avance® Nerve Graft, AxoGen, Alachua FL) with autologous bone marrow stem cells (BMSC) for the reconstruction of mixed peripheral nerve gaps between 3 and 7 cm in length. Each treatment separately has been shown to have an established safety record. Avance has been used in more than 10,000 surgeries without a reported adverse event. The current standard of care for nerve injury, the autograft, has significant limitations: the source and quantities of autologous tissue needed for repairs are limited, and when faced with severe trauma these donor sites are not viable due to concurrent injury. Use of a decellularized nerve graft mitigates concerns of donor site morbidity, decreases surgical time and has substantially equivalent outcomes. Augmenting the scaffold with the patient's own BMSCs may allow for point of care treatment with the potential to enhance the regenerative ability of the wound-healing environment. The proposed use of an existing commercially available scaffold with an autologous stem cell transplant, both with proven safety records, would establish a safety profile and provide a proof

15. SUBJECT TERMS

Avance nerve graft, autologous bone marrow stem cells (BMSC), nerve autograft, peripheral nerve repair

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC	
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area
U	U	U	UU	7	code)

Table of Contents

		<u>Page</u>
1.	Introduction	4
2.	Keywords	4
3.	Overall Project Summary	4
4.	Key Research Accomplishments	5
5.	Conclusion	5
6.	Publications, Abstracts, and Presentations	5
7.	Inventions, Patents and Licenses	5
8.	Reportable Outcomes	5
9.	Other Achievements	5
10.	References	6
11.	Appendices	7
12.	Training or Fellowship Awards	7
13.	Collaborative Awards	7
14.	Quad Chart	8

1. INTRODUCTION:

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the safety of the nerve allograft and BMSC sequentially to repair peripheral nerve gaps of the ulnar and median nerves of the upper extremities between 2 and 7 centimeters (cm) in length. Subjects will be followed for 18 months post-surgery. Secondary outcomes will be examined to evaluate the efficacy of the co-treatment for nerve repair compared to Avance Nerve Graft and BMSC treatments alone. The study is designed to treat up to 24 patients using the sequential treatment of nerve allograft and BMSC. This is a prospective, observational trial to evaluate the safety of Avance nerve graft and BMSC and to measure the efficacy of the synergistic treatment for peripheral nerve repair.

2. KEYWORDS:

Avance nerve graft Autologous Bone Marrow Stem Cells (BMSC) Nerve autograft Peripheral nerve repair

3. OVERALL PROJECT SUMMARY:

During Quarter 1 of Year 2 (July-September 2016), the team discussed and reviewed the protocol and Case Report Form (CRF) with the principal investigator (PI). The clinical research coordinator (CRC) made edits to protocol and CRF.

During Quarter 2 of Year 2 (October-December 2016), the team discussed and reviewed the protocol and Case Report Form (CRF) with the PI. The CRC made edits to protocol and CRF. The CRC created a flow chart to map out the study design. The team received initial feedback from Cleveland Clinic on the data to be collected during specimen analysis. The team created and revised the master budget. The master revised budget was approved.

During Quarter 3 of Year 2 (January-March 2017), the CRC updated the protocol, consent form, and CRF. The lead site master protocol was submitted to the Scientific Review Committee (SRC) at WRNMMC. Due to the new WRNMMC IRB 200-day review policy, the team shifted strategies to make SAMMC the lead site (instead of WRNMMC) and therefore, submit the lead site protocol to the BAMC IRB, the local IRB at SAMMC. The SRC lead site protocol submission at WRNMMC was withdrawn. The master protocol, consent form, and application form were updated according to BAMC IRB protocol templates. The master protocol was submitted to the SRC at SAMMC and received SRC approval. The lead site protocol was submitted to the BAMC IRB. An IAIR agreement was approved between the WRNMMC and BAMC IRBs. The CRC submitted HJF paperwork to create a sub-award for Cleveland Clinic. Clinical research manager (CRM) was hired at SAMMC.

During Quarter 4 of Year 2 (April-June 2017), SAMMC, the lead site, received IRB approval in May. HRPO approval was received in June. The WRNNMC performance site IRB submission was sent to the local IRB in June. The CNHC performance site IRB

submission was sent to the local IRB in June. Curtis National Hand Center is still waiting for the budget office to finalize their site specific budget. Budget and SOW for Curtis will have to be submitted to HJF to create the sub-award for CNHC. Cleveland Clinic sub-award has been finalized and processed by HJF on behalf of Cleveland Clinic. Still awaiting final approval and signature from Cleveland Clinic.

Subject enrollment is anticipated to begin in August at SAMMC, in August/September at WRNMMC, and in October at Curtis National Hand Center. It is still anticipated that all subjects will be enrolled before the end of year 3 (June 30, 2018). Follow-up of the research subjects may require a No Cost Extension (NCE) up to 1 year later.

The team is preparing for a two-day training/protocol review with all participating sites and vendors to be held at WRNMMC on July 13th and July 14th.

4. KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS

No Key Research accomplishments beyond what was outlined in the overall project summary above have been accomplished.

5. CONCLUSION:

During the period of this report, the lead site has obtained IRB and HRPO approval and IRB review/approval is pending at the other two performance sites (WRNMMC and Curtis National Hand Center). Subject enrollment is anticipated to begin in August at SAMMC, in August/September at WRNMMC, and in October at Curtis National Hand Center. It is still anticipated that all subjects will be enrolled before the end of year 3 (June 30, 2018). Follow-up of the research subjects may require a No Cost Extension (NCE) up to 1 year later.

6. PUBLICATIONS, ABSTRACTS, AND PRESENTATIONS:

Publications: Noting to report Abstracts: Nothing to report Presentations: Nothing to report

7. INVENTIONS, PATENTS AND LICENSES:

Nothing to report

8. REPORTABLE OUTCOMES

Nothing to report

9. OTHER ACHIEVEMENTS:

Nothing to report

10. REFERENCES:

W81XWH-15-2-0026 References

- 1. Brooks DN, Weber RV, Chao JD, Rinker BD, Zoldos J, Robichaux MR, Ruggeri SB, Anderson KA, Bonatz EE, Wisotsky SM, Cho MS, Wilson C, Cooper EO, Ingari JV, Safa B, Parrett BM, Buncke GM, *Processed nerve allografts for peripheral nerve reconstruction: a multicenter study of utilization and outcomes in sensory, mixed, and motor nerve reconstructions.* Microsurgery, 2012. 32(1):1-14.
- 2. Cho MS, Rinker BD, Weber RV, Chao JD, Ingari JV, Brooks D, Buncke GM, Functional outcome following nerve repair in the upper extremity using processed nerve allograft. Journal of Hand Surgery [Am], 2012. 37(11):2340-9.
- 3. Lundborg, G., A 25-yr perspective of peripheral nerve surgery: evolving neuroscientific concepts and clinical significance. Journal of Hand Surgery [Am], 2000. **25**: p. 391-414.
- 4. Mackinnon, S.E. and A.L. Dellon, *Results of Nerve Repair and Grafting*, in *Surgery of Peripheral Nerve*. 1988, Thieme Medical: New York.
- 5. Millesi, H., *Nerve Grafting*. Clin Plast Surg, 1984. **11**: p. 105-113.
- 6. Noble, J., et al., *Analysis of Upper and Lower Extremity Peripheral Nerve Injuries in a Population of Recipients with Multiple Injuries.* The Journal of Trauma, 1998. **45**: p. 116-122
- 7. Dvali, L. and S. Mackinnon, *Nerve repair, grafting, and nerve transfers*. Clinics in Plastic Surgery, 2003. **30**: p. 203-221.
- 8. FF, I.J., J.P. Nicolai, and M.F. Meek, *Sural nerve donor-site morbidity: thirty-four years of follow-up*. Ann Plast Surg, 2006. **57**(4): p. 391-5.
- 9. Brushart T. *Nerve Repair*. New York: Oxford University Press; 2011.
- 10. Graham, J.B., et al., *A chondroitinase-treated, decellularized nerve allograft compares favorably to the cellular isograft in rat peripheral nerve repair.* Journal of Neurodegeneration and Regeneration, 2009. 2(1): p. 19-29.
- 11. Whitlock, E.L., et al., *Processed allografts and type I collagen conduits for repair of peripheral nerve gaps. Muscle Nerve*, 2009. 39(6): p. 787-99.
- 12. Neubauer, D., J.B. Graham, and D. Muir, *Nerve grafts with various sensory and motor fiber compositions are equally effective for the repair of a mixed nerve defect.* Experimental Neurology, 2010. **223**: p. 203-206.
- 13. Karabekmez, F.E., A. Duymaz, and S.L. Moran, *Early Clinical Outcomes with the use of Decellularized Nerve Allograft for Repair of Sensory Defects Within the Hand*. Hand (NY), 2009. **4**(3): p. 245-9.
- 14. Taras J., Amin N., Patel N., McCabe L., *Allograft Reconstruction for Digital Nerve Loss.* J Hand Surg [Am], 2013 Oct;38(10):1965-71.
- 15. Guo Y., Chen G., Tian G., Tapia C., Sensory recovery following decellularized nerve allograft transplantation for digital nerve repair. J Plast Surg Hand Surg, 2013; Early Online: 1-3.
- 16. Isaacs J., Major Peripheral Nerve Injuries. Hand Clin, 2013; 29: 371-382.
- 17. Lin M., Manzano G., Gupta R., *Nerve Allografts and Conduits in Peripheral Nerve Repair*. Hand Clin, 2013; 29: 331-348.

- 18. Hu J., et al., Repair of extended peripheral nerve lesions in rhesus monkeys using acellular allogenic nerve grafts implanted with autologous mesenchymal stem cells. Exp Neurol. 2007 Apr; 204(2):658-66.
- 19. Dezawa M., et al., Sciatic nerve regeneration in rats induced by transplantation of in vitro differentiated bone-marrow stromal cells. Eur J Neurosci. 2001 Dec;14(11):1771-6.
- 20. Djouad F, Jackson WM, Bobick BE, Janjanin S, Song Y, Huang GT, Tuan RS, *Activin A expression regulates multipotency of mesenchymal progenitor cells*. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2010 May 4;1(2):11.
- 21. Jackson WM, Alexander PG, Bulken-Hoover JD, Vogler JA, Ji Y, McKay P, Nesti LJ, Tuan RS, *Mesenchymal progenitor cells derived from traumatized muscle enhance neurite growth.* J Tissue Eng Regen Med. 2013 Jun;7(6):443-51
- 22. Bulken-Hoover JD, Jackson WM, Ji Y, Volger JA, Tuan RS, Nesti LJ, *Inducible expression* of neurotrophic factors by mesenchymal progenitor cells derived from traumatically injured human muscle. Mol Biotechnol. 2012 Jun;51(2):128-36
- 23. Mimura T., et al., *Peripheral nerve regeneration by transplantation of bone marrow stromal cell-derived Schwann cells in adult rats.* J Neurosurg. 2004 Nov; 101(5):806-12.
- 24. Wang D., et al., Repairing large radial nerve defects by acellular nerve allografts seeded with autologous bone marrow stromal cells in a monkey model. J Neurotrauma. 2010 Oct; 27(10): 1935-43.
- 25. Chen C.J., et al., *Transplantation of bone marrow stromal cells for peripheral nerve repair*. Exp Neurol. 2007 Mar;204(1):443-53.
- 26. Nijhuis T.H., et al., *Isogenic venous graft supported with bone marrow stromal cells as a natural conduit for bridging a 20 mm nerve gap.* Microsurgery. 2010 Nov; 30(8):639-45.
- 27. Reyes, M. and C.M. Verfaillie, *Characterization of multipotent adult progenitor cells, a subpopulation of mesenchymal stem cells.* Ann N Y Acad Sci, 2001. **938**: p. 231-3; discussion 233

11. APPENDICES:

None

12. TRAINING OR FELLOWSHIP AWARDS:

None

13. COLLABORATIVE AWARDS:

No collaborative awards were executed in year 2

14. QUAD CHART: